



Food and Agriculture Organization

Topic A: Leveraging Precision Agriculture and Biotechnology for Food Security

Introduction

Food insecurity remains one of the most pressing global challenges, particularly in arid and semi-arid regions where traditional farming methods struggle to produce sufficient yields. According to the United Nations, over 800 million people worldwide are undernourished, a problem exacerbated by climate change, population growth, and environmental degradation.¹ Achieving Sustainable Development Goal 2 (Zero Hunger) requires innovative approaches to increase agricultural productivity and ensure equitable access to nutritious food. Precision agriculture and biotechnology, including drones for crop monitoring, AI-driven soil and water management, and Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs), offer promising tools to enhance efficiency, reduce waste, and improve crop resilience in challenging environments.²

The United Nations has consistently emphasized the importance of sustainable agricultural practices in its resolutions and frameworks. UN General Assembly Resolution 70/1 (2015) on the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development explicitly calls for ending hunger and ensuring food security, while the Committee on World Food Security (CFS) guidelines encourage the adoption of technology-driven approaches that are environmentally sustainable and socially inclusive.³ The integration of AI and biotechnology into farming practices aligns with these directives, enabling data-driven decision-making to optimize water usage, monitor crop health, and predict yields, ultimately contributing to resilience against famine and environmental stressors.⁴

Despite their potential, these technologies raise important ethical and environmental concerns. The deployment of GMOs, for instance, can increase crop yields but may also affect biodiversity, raise socioeconomic issues for smallholder farmers, and provoke cultural resistance in some regions.⁵ Similarly, AI and drone technologies require infrastructure, technical expertise, and data governance policies to avoid exacerbating inequalities or marginalizing vulnerable communities. Balancing technological innovation with ethical stewardship is therefore critical, ensuring that interventions to combat famine are both effective and socially responsible. Integrating ethical considerations with international guidance allows nations to pursue precision

¹ United Nations. (2022). *The state of food security and nutrition in the world 2022*. FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP, WHO. <https://www.fao.org/publications/sofi/2022>

² Gebbers, R., & Adamchuk, V. I. (2010). Precision agriculture and food security. *Science*, 327(5967), 828–831. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1183899>

³ United Nations General Assembly. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development* (A/RES/70/1). <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>

⁴ Food and Agriculture Organization. (2021). *The state of food and agriculture 2021: Leveraging technology for sustainable agriculture*. FAO. <https://www.fao.org/publications/sofa/2021>

⁵ UNESCO. (2020). *Ethics of biotechnology and genetic engineering in agriculture*. UNESCO. <https://en.unesco.org/themes/ethics-science>



Food and Agriculture Organization

agriculture and biotechnology in ways that advance SDG 2 while promoting equity, sustainability, and environmental protection.

Current Situation

Globally, arid and semi-arid regions face persistent food insecurity due to low rainfall, degraded soils, and climate-related shocks. For example, the Sahel region in Africa and parts of South Asia regularly experience crop failures that threaten millions of livelihoods.⁶ Traditional farming methods are often insufficient to maintain yields under these conditions, creating an urgent need for innovative agricultural practices. Precision agriculture technologies, such as AI-driven soil and water monitoring systems, enable farmers to optimize irrigation, predict crop stress, and make data-informed decisions to improve productivity.⁷ Drones are increasingly deployed to map crop health, assess nutrient deficiencies, and monitor pest infestations, allowing rapid intervention and reducing losses.⁸ These technological advancements directly support the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) in fulfilling its mandate to enhance agricultural productivity, improve resource efficiency, and provide data-driven guidance to member states for sustainable food security.⁹

Biotechnology also plays a growing role in addressing food scarcity. Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs) have been engineered to withstand drought, resist pests, and tolerate saline soils, which is particularly relevant in arid regions where environmental stressors limit traditional crop growth.¹⁰ For instance, drought-tolerant maize varieties developed in Sub-Saharan Africa have increased yields for smallholder farmers in countries like Kenya and Zambia, helping to improve household food security.¹¹ The FAO has been actively involved in assessing the socio-economic and environmental impacts of GMOs, providing guidance to ensure these technologies are deployed safely, sustainably, and in alignment with international standards.¹² At the same time, the Committee on World Food Security (CFS) and FAO emphasize that these

⁶ United Nations. (2022). *The state of food security and nutrition in the world 2022*. FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP, WHO. <https://www.fao.org/publications/sofi/2022>

⁷ Gebbers, R., & Adamchuk, V. I. (2010). Precision agriculture and food security. *Science*, 327(5967), 828–831. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1183899>

⁸ Zhang, C., & Kovacs, J. M. (2012). The application of small unmanned aerial systems for precision agriculture: A review. *Precision Agriculture*, 13(6), 693–712. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-012-9274-5>

⁹ Food and Agriculture Organization. (2021). *The state of food and agriculture 2021: Leveraging technology for sustainable agriculture*. FAO. <https://www.fao.org/publications/sofa/2021>

¹⁰ Brookes, G., & Barfoot, P. (2020). GM crops: Global socio-economic and environmental impacts 1996–2018. *GM Crops & Food*, 11(4), 242–261. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645698.2020.1855035>

¹¹ CIMMYT. (2021). *Drought-tolerant maize for Africa*. International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center. <https://www.cimmyt.org/drought-tolerant-maize-for-africa/>

¹² Food and Agriculture Organization. (2019). *The FAO's role in biotechnology and GM crops*. FAO. <http://www.fao.org/biotech/en/>



Food and Agriculture Organization

technologies must be implemented within frameworks that respect environmental sustainability, social equity, and local cultural practices.¹³

However, ethical and governance challenges remain significant. The use of GMOs raises concerns about biodiversity loss, monopolization of seed markets, and potential long-term ecological impacts.¹⁴ Similarly, precision agriculture tools such as AI algorithms and drones require technical expertise, reliable energy sources, and data infrastructure that may be inaccessible to the most vulnerable populations, risking increased inequality in food production and distribution.¹⁵ To mitigate these risks, the FAO advocates for combining technological innovation with policy frameworks that ensure inclusivity, environmental stewardship, and community engagement. These efforts align with SDG 2 (Zero Hunger) and broader UN guidance, reinforcing FAO's role in promoting sustainable, equitable, and ethical agricultural transformation.¹⁶

Conclusion

Food insecurity in arid and semi-arid regions illustrates a central tension in global development: while technological innovation offers powerful tools to increase productivity and resilience, it cannot succeed in isolation from governance, equity, and ethical considerations. Precision agriculture and biotechnology—through AI-driven resource management, drone-based monitoring, and climate-resilient crops—demonstrate real potential to mitigate climate shocks, reduce waste, and stabilize food systems. Yet their effectiveness ultimately depends on how they are embedded within broader social, institutional, and environmental frameworks rather than on technological capacity alone.

A critical gap lies in unequal access and implementation. Without deliberate policies to support smallholder farmers, invest in rural infrastructure, and strengthen local technical capacity, advanced agricultural technologies risk deepening existing inequalities between and within countries. This calls for international cooperation, led by institutions such as the FAO and the Committee on World Food Security, to promote technology transfer, open-access data platforms, public-private partnerships, and financing mechanisms that prioritize vulnerable regions. Equally important is the need for robust regulatory and ethical frameworks that address concerns

¹³ Food and Agriculture Organization. (2021). *The state of food and agriculture 2021: Leveraging technology for sustainable agriculture*. FAO. <https://www.fao.org/publications/sofa/2021>

¹⁴ UNESCO. (2020). *Ethics of biotechnology and genetic engineering in agriculture*. UNESCO. <https://en.unesco.org/themes/ethics-science>

¹⁵ United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. (2021). *Technology and sustainable development in agriculture*. UNCTAD. <https://unctad.org/webflyer/technology-and-sustainable-development-agriculture>

¹⁶ United Nations General Assembly. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development* (A/RES/70/1). <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>



Food and Agriculture Organization

over biodiversity, data governance, seed sovereignty, and long-term ecological impacts, ensuring that innovation does not undermine sustainability or local livelihoods.

Ultimately, achieving SDG 2 requires moving beyond a narrow focus on yield increases toward a holistic food systems approach. This involves integrating technological solutions with inclusive governance, community participation, environmental protection, and cultural sensitivity. By aligning innovation with international norms and local realities, states and global institutions can transform precision agriculture and biotechnology from isolated technical fixes into equitable, sustainable strategies capable of addressing the structural causes of hunger and building long-term food security in the world's most vulnerable regions.

Questions to Address

1. How can FAO support the adoption of precision agriculture technologies (e.g., AI-driven soil monitoring, drones) in resource-limited, arid regions while ensuring that smallholder farmers and marginalized communities are not left behind?
2. How can FAO integrate data from precision agriculture tools into broader policy frameworks to improve national and regional food security planning, while ensuring transparency, data privacy, and equitable access to technology?
3. What strategies can FAO use to balance short-term food production gains with long-term sustainability, including biodiversity protection, climate resilience, and socio-economic equity?
4. How can FAO guide the responsible use of genetically modified crops in arid and food-insecure regions in a way that improves yields and climate resilience, while safeguarding biodiversity, preventing seed market monopolization, and protecting the livelihoods of smallholder farmers?

Topic B: Digitalizing Supply Chains to Reduce Food Loss and Waste

Introduction

Global food systems face a critical challenge: approximately one-third of all food produced is lost or wasted before it reaches consumers, representing not only a massive inefficiency but also a profound ethical and environmental problem.¹⁷ Food loss disproportionately affects vulnerable populations in low- and middle-income countries, where inadequate infrastructure, poor storage, and inefficient logistics prevent food from reaching markets.¹⁸ This inequity raises ethical questions about justice and access to essential resources, as millions suffer from hunger despite

¹⁷ Food and Agriculture Organization. (2019). *The state of food and agriculture 2019: Moving forward on food loss and waste reduction*. FAO. <http://www.fao.org/3/ca6030en/ca6030en.pdf>

¹⁸ Gustavsson, J., Cederberg, C., Sonesson, U., van Otterdijk, R., & Meybeck, A. (2011). *Global food losses and food waste: Extent, causes and prevention*. FAO. <http://www.fao.org/3/i2697e/i2697e.pdf>



Food and Agriculture Organization

sufficient global production. The United Nations General Assembly Resolution 70/1 (2015) on the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development emphasizes the responsibility of member states to ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns, explicitly targeting the reduction of food loss and waste under SDG 12.3.¹⁹ The FAO has similarly recognized that reducing post-harvest losses is essential to achieve food security, promote resource efficiency, and fulfill its mandate to support sustainable agricultural development.²⁰

Technological innovations, including Blockchain and Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, offer transformative potential to address these challenges. Blockchain enables secure, immutable records of food origin, handling, and quality, enhancing transparency and accountability across supply chains.²¹ IoT sensors can track temperature, humidity, and storage conditions in real time, allowing immediate interventions to prevent spoilage during transport and storage.²² For the FAO, adopting and promoting these technologies aligns with its strategic priorities on food loss reduction, capacity building, and the digital transformation of agriculture.²³ UN bodies, including FAO and UN DESA, have highlighted the potential of these digital tools to advance sustainable development while ensuring that smallholder farmers and local communities are included in the benefits of technological innovation.²⁴

Despite their promise, the use of Blockchain and IoT in food supply chains raises significant ethical and governance concerns. Access to digital technologies is uneven, potentially exacerbating inequalities between large agribusinesses and smallholder farmers.²⁵ Data privacy, security, and ownership of supply chain information are critical issues, as sensitive information could be misused or concentrated in the hands of a few actors.²⁶ Environmental considerations also arise, as digital infrastructure requires energy and materials, which can have ecological impacts if not managed sustainably. Ethically responsible implementation, therefore, requires policies and frameworks that ensure inclusivity, equitable access, and environmental stewardship. In line with UN resolutions and FAO guidance, integrating technology with ethical

¹⁹ United Nations General Assembly. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development* (A/RES/70/1). <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>

²⁰ Food and Agriculture Organization. (2021). *The state of food and agriculture 2021: Leveraging technology for sustainable agriculture*. FAO. <https://www.fao.org/publications/sofa/2021>

²¹ Kamilaris, A., Fonts, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F. X. (2019). The rise of Blockchain and IoT for food supply chain transparency. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 91, 640–652. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.07.034>

²² Deloitte. (2020). *Blockchain in agriculture: Transforming supply chains and reducing food waste*. Deloitte Insights. <https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/industry/agriculture/blockchain-in-agriculture.html>

²³ Food and Agriculture Organization. (2021). *Ethical and governance considerations for digital agriculture*. FAO. <http://www.fao.org/3/cb4963en/cb4963en.pdf>

²⁴ United Nations. (2021). *Digital solutions for sustainable food systems*. UN DESA.

<https://www.un.org/development/desa/dpad/publication/digital-solutions-for-sustainable-food-systems/>

²⁵ OECD. (2020). *Innovation, digitalisation, and smallholder inclusion in agriculture*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/5f12d0f0-en>

²⁶ FAO & ITU. (2020). *Digital technologies for sustainable agriculture: Policy guidance*. FAO & International Telecommunication Union. <http://www.fao.org/3/ca8186en/ca8186en.pdf>



Food and Agriculture Organization

oversight and transparent governance is essential to reduce food loss while promoting fairness, sustainability, and global food justice.²⁷

Current Situation

Food loss and waste remain pervasive challenges in the Global South, undermining food security, economic stability, and sustainability. In Sub-Saharan Africa, up to 45% of fruits and vegetables are lost post-harvest due to inadequate cold storage and underdeveloped transportation networks, disproportionately affecting smallholder farmers.²⁸ In South Asia, India loses approximately 21% of its total food production annually, largely due to spoilage during storage and inefficient logistics.²⁹ These losses exacerbate hunger, perpetuate poverty, and strain natural resources, raising ethical concerns regarding equitable access to food and the responsible use of agricultural inputs.³⁰ The United Nations General Assembly (A/RES/70/1, 2015) underscores the ethical imperative of reducing food waste as part of SDG 12.3, calling on member states to halve global food losses by 2030 and promote sustainable production and consumption patterns³¹.

Countries are increasingly deploying digital technologies to address these challenges. In India, Blockchain pilots track perishable produce such as mangoes and tomatoes from farm to market, providing real-time data on location, storage conditions, and freshness, which reduces spoilage and ensures traceability.³² In Kenya, IoT-enabled cold storage units connected to mobile platforms allow farmers to monitor temperature and humidity remotely, resulting in a 20–25% reduction in post-harvest losses for horticultural crops.³³ In Brazil, the use of smart logistics and sensor-based supply chain monitoring in the coffee industry has improved product quality, reduced losses during transportation, and enhanced export reliability.³⁴ These interventions support the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) in achieving its mandate to promote

²⁷ UNESCO. (2020). *Ethics and governance of emerging technologies in agriculture*. UNESCO. <https://en.unesco.org/themes/ethics-science>

²⁸ Gustavsson, J., Cederberg, C., Sonesson, U., van Otterdijk, R., & Meybeck, A. (2011). *Global food losses and food waste: Extent, causes and prevention*. FAO. <http://www.fao.org/3/i2697e/i2697e.pdf>

²⁹ Food and Agriculture Organization. (2019). *The state of food and agriculture 2019: Moving forward on food loss and waste reduction*. FAO. <http://www.fao.org/3/ca6030en/ca6030en.pdf>

³⁰ United Nations Environment Programme. (2020). *Food waste index report 2021*. UNEP. <https://www.unep.org/resources/report/unep-food-waste-index-report-2021>

³¹ United Nations General Assembly. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development* (A/RES/70/1). <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>

³² Kamilaris, A., Fonts, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F. X. (2019). The rise of Blockchain and IoT for food supply chain transparency. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 91, 640–652. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.07.034>

³³ Deloitte. (2020). *Blockchain in agriculture: Transforming supply chains and reducing food waste*. Deloitte Insights. <https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/industry/agriculture/blockchain-in-agriculture.html>

³⁴ World Bank. (2021). *Digital solutions for reducing post-harvest losses in Latin America*. World Bank Agriculture and Food Global Practice. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/agriculture/publication/digital-solutions-for-food-systems> RMG



Food and Agriculture Organization

sustainable agricultural systems, improve food security, and build resilient supply chains.³⁵ FAO also facilitates technical assistance, capacity-building programs, and partnerships between governments and private actors to scale these digital solutions globally.³⁶

Despite these advancements, significant ethical and operational challenges persist. Access to digital technologies remains uneven, with smallholder farmers in remote or resource-poor regions facing barriers related to infrastructure, cost, and digital literacy.³⁷ Data governance is a major concern: Blockchain and IoT systems generate sensitive commercial and personal information, necessitating clear policies on ownership, privacy, and equitable data sharing.³⁸ Environmental sustainability is another consideration, as digital infrastructure consumes energy and materials that can have ecological impacts if not managed responsibly.³⁹ In response, FAO and UN guidance emphasize inclusive and ethically governed deployment of technology, integrating training, policy frameworks, and stakeholder engagement to ensure that digital solutions to food loss benefit all actors while adhering to principles of sustainability, equity, and global food justice.⁴⁰

Conclusion

Reducing global food loss is not only a technical challenge but a governance, equity, and climate imperative. While digital innovations such as Blockchain and IoT offer powerful tools to improve traceability, storage efficiency, and supply chain transparency, their impact depends on the policy environments in which they are deployed. Without supportive regulatory frameworks, public investment in infrastructure, and safeguards for data ownership and privacy, these technologies risk reinforcing existing inequalities between large agribusinesses and smallholder farmers.

Moreover, food loss contributes significantly to greenhouse gas emissions, meaning that failure to address inefficiencies across food systems undermines both food security and climate action goals. A comprehensive response, therefore, requires coordinated national and international

³⁵ Food and Agriculture Organization. (2021). *Ethical and governance considerations for digital agriculture*. FAO. <http://www.fao.org/3/cb4963en/cb4963en.pdf>

³⁶ Food and Agriculture Organization. (2020). *Save Food: Global Initiative on Food Loss and Waste Reduction*. FAO. <http://www.fao.org/save-food/en/>

³⁷ OECD. (2020). *Innovation, digitalisation, and smallholder inclusion in agriculture*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/5f12d0f0-en>

³⁸ FAO & ITU. (2020). *Digital technologies for sustainable agriculture: Policy guidance*. FAO & International Telecommunication Union. <http://www.fao.org/3/ca8186en/ca8186en.pdf>

³⁹ United Nations. (2021). *Digital solutions for sustainable food systems*. UN DESA. <https://www.un.org/development/desa/dpad/publication/digital-solutions-for-sustainable-food-systems/>

⁴⁰ UNESCO. (2020). *Ethics and governance of emerging technologies in agriculture*. UNESCO. <https://en.unesco.org/themes/ethics-science>



Food and Agriculture Organization

strategies that integrate technology with inclusive policies, capacity-building, and environmental oversight.

By aligning digital solutions with FAO guidance, SDG 12.3, and broader sustainability objectives, the international community can transform food loss reduction into a pathway toward more resilient, just, and climate-conscious global food systems—ensuring that efficiency gains translate into tangible benefits for vulnerable populations rather than deepening existing disparities.

Questions to Address

1. How can FAO and governments ensure that smallholder farmers in low-income or remote regions benefit from digital supply chain technologies like Blockchain and IoT, rather than being excluded due to cost, infrastructure, or technical literacy?
2. What ethical principles should guide the collection, storage, and use of supply chain data in Blockchain and IoT systems? How can policymakers ensure that sensitive data is protected while still enabling transparency and efficiency?
3. How do digital supply chain innovations help achieve SDG 12.3 (halving food loss and waste), and what trade-offs might exist between increasing efficiency, protecting the environment, and maintaining equitable access to resources?